

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/064,752	LENKIEWICZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) Theresa T. Snider.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) John McGarry.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 March 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. McGarry, in light of arguments of 2/16/2005, claim 23 will be regrouped into elected Group I, claims 1-18. Examiner informed Mr. McGarry that claims 1-18 and 23 are allowable. Mr. McGarry agreed to cancel claims 19-22, drawn to a non-elected invention. Mr. McGarry agreed to specification change as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment to correct a grammatical error .